

# Did My Remedial Amendment Produce All That Methane? strategic Carbon.

## Rick Coffin (Strategic Carbon, LLC), James Peale (MFA, Inc.), Tom Boyd (NRL) and Jim Mueller (Provectus Environmental Products, Inc.)

#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Methanogens/Archaea produce methane. They are often the dominant microbes in reduced environments. Methanogenesis is a requisite component of conventional anaerobic bioremediation.

If Archaea are not controlled, then *in situ* remedial actions employing conventional (*i.e.*, no active control of Archaea) ERD amendments such as [emulsified] oils/lecithins, lactates/sugars, simple hydrogen release compounds or conventional ISCR reagents can generate excessive amounts of methane. At several sites where these conventional ERD/ISCR remedial amendments have been applied excessive methanogenesis (some yielding effervescent samples as shown below) has been observed, sometimes for many months - even years - after the amendments were applied.



Methane in ecosystems can originate:

Thermogenically from regions of petroleum formation deep within the earth

♦ Via microbial fermentation of indigenous organic carbon and subsequent microbial reduction of carbon dioxide

Via fermentation of an added carbon source, and /or

Via catabolism of contaminant carbon

Hence, the origin of methane is not always clear.

CH4 production >12 months Post EVO (Newman Zone®) Application Source US DOD 2017

#### WHERE DID ALL THIS METHANE COME FROM?

This question can be answered conclusively using carbon isotope analyses - radiocarbon ( $\Delta^{14}$ C) and stable carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C). When coupled with methane  $(CH_{4})$  and carbon dioxide  $(CO_{2})$  data from groundwater samples the origin of the respired carbon is clearly determined.

For water CO<sub>2</sub>, dissolved inorganic carbon can be converted to CO<sub>2</sub> and concentrations determined with a coulometer. For water CH4, the concentration is measured via GC-FID. This combination of both gasses provides an estimate of total degradation by assuming microbial degradation to  $CO_2$  and, when there is active anaerobic degradation,  $CO_2$  is further reduced to CH<sub>4</sub>

### **STUDY LOCATION**



Figure 1: An overview of the sample location including; A) location of the dry cleaning facility; B) location of the monitoring wells including the sample wells reviewed in this study (purple triangles); C) distribution of sewer lines, storm water drains and utilities below ground in the study area.

### CONCLUSIONS

These data show with strong certainty two distinct sources of CH₄ at MW15-1D and MW16-1D (Figure 1). This statement is based on the following points.  $1.\Delta^{14}C$  CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> data are modern; there is no contribution from petroleum gas or microbial degraded petroleum.

- $2.\delta^{13}$ C CH<sub>4</sub> shows the gas source at both sampling locations is biogenic, produced from organic carbon degradation.
- 3.Data suggested that each source is focused within its region, and there was little mixing of sources between the two wells, approximately 200 ft apart.
- 4.The most modern CH<sub>4</sub> <sup>14</sup>C signature was observed at well MW16-1D and the gas was produced from microbial reduction of  $CO_2$  during the degradation of sewage (very young carbon) and/or subsequent leakage from the sewage lines.
- 5.The ISCR amendment was the primary source of carbon for CH<sub>4</sub> production at MW15-1D.

#### Provectus Environmental Products, Inc. • 2871 West Forest Road, Suite 2 • Freeport, IL 61032 • Phone (815) 650-2230

Provect-OX®, Provect-IR®, Provect-IR®, ABC-CH4®, Provect-CH4®, EZVI-CH4™, AquaGate®-CH4™, AquaGate®-CH4™, AquaGate®-CH4™, Provect-GS™, ERD-CH4 Ego™ and Provect-ABR™ are trademarks of Provectus Environmental Products Inc. and/or our affiliates



Figure 2: This figure provides an overview of our data interpretation. A)  $\Delta^{14}$ C and  $\delta^{13}$ C for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> samples taken at the well locations and discussed in this summary are highlighted in blue. Radiocarbon data are listed as fraction modern and error, carbon age and error [for external reference], and  $\Delta^{14}C$  to provide capability to compare these data with other studies. B) Radiocarbon ( $\Delta^{14}$ C) is compared for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> samples taken from MW-16-1D and MW-15-1D (Figure 1). C)  $\Delta^{14}C$  CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> data (section B in this figure) are compared with potential endmembers from this study site. Petroleum carbon  $\Delta^{14}$ C will be -999‰, with no measureable <sup>14</sup>C present. Groundwater CO<sub>2</sub> will be moderately depleted in<sup>14</sup>C with a value of -279.81%; this value varies between ecosystems, depending on pavement capping vs gas flux from the atmosphere and plant growth vs. industrial activity. Sewage  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  found in the groundwater wells would come from leaking pipelines and  $\Delta^{14}$ C would be modern, originating from recent carbon production.

For Technical Support and Proposals:

Dr. Rick Coffin, Strategic Carbon, LLC 20 Ladd St., Suite 200, Portsmouth, NH 03801 Phone: (301) 404-2364 rcoffin@strategic-carbonllc.com



#### **DATA INTERPRETATION**